## **Excellent Care for All**

## Quality Improvement Plans (QIP): Progress Report for 2014/15 QIP

Health Quality Ontario (HQO) will use the updated Progress Reports to share effective change initiatives, spread successful change ideas, and inform robust curriculum for future educational sessions.

I	Measure/Indicator from 2014/2015	Current Performance as stated on QIP14/15	Target as stated on QIP 14/15	Performance	Comments		
1	Decrease Average Length of Stay (LOS) for clients discharged within 4-90 days Days Mental Health / Addiction patients Q4 2013-14 –Q3 2014 -15 ADT	25.90	25.40		Average length of stay is positively influenced by both robust discharge planning and availability of appropriate discharge destinations. The mental health sector continues to experience challenges with respect to appropriate places to discharge. As well, the client population we are serving continues to increase in complexity and co-morbidity. We have undertaken various strategic efforts aimed at reducing average length of stay. Throughout the year, outpatient services in specific areas underwent transformation, including the introduction of extended hours as well as enhanced ties with partners to provide community-based services necessary to discharge hospitalized patients. We are also increasing our efforts at creating effective discharge plans. An associated risk of decreased length of stay is potential increase in readmission rate. We are monitoring this closely with the goal of better understanding the populations at risk for readmission and factors that lead to readmission.		
C	Last Years QIP imple			or? What were	uestions to Consider) What was your experience with your key learnings? Did the change ideas make an hat advice would you give to others?		
focus on discharge planning			We worked with CCAC on Home First strategies in an effort to apply the techniques used in general hospitals to reduce length of stay. This a key component of our approach to ensure appropriate hospitalization and strengthen implementation of our discharge policy that requires all inpatients to have discharge plan in place within 72				

hours of admission. We are also undertaking a review of our discharge practices with a view to clarifying individual and team role accountability. We expect to continue with these initiatives in the coming year. We believe focused attention on discharge planning is an effective strategy to reduce unnecessary time in hospital.

ID	Measure/Indicator from 201	4/2015	Currei Performar stated QIP14/	nce as on	Target as stated on QIP 14/15	Current Performance 2015	Comments
2	Total Margin (consolidated): % by who corporate (consolidated) revenues exhort of total corporate (consolidated excluding the impact of facility amort given year. % N/a Q3 2013/14 OHRS, MOH	xceed or fall ) expense,			0.00	0.90	We have continued with diligent leadership monitoring and oversight, employing a number of strategies to achieve this goal.
	Change Ideas from Last Years QIP (QIP 2014/15)	Was this ch implemei intended? (Y	nted as	you	ır experiend	e with this indi	ions to Consider) What was cator? What were your key make an impact? What advice to others?
pi re	nplement attendance support rogram Reduce overtime Quarterly eview of performance by executive adership	Yes			ance suppor sed absente	. •	nplemented and has led to

ID Measure/Indicator from 2014/2015	Current Performance as stated on QIP14/15	Target as stated on QIP 14/15	Performance	Comments			
# of non-forensic long stay clients (patients who have been here for one year or more) Counts non-forensic long stay client (patients who have been here for one year or more) annual ADT		46.00	29.00	The number of long-stay inpatients at the end of Q3 was the lowest of any previous quarter during the last 2 years. Of the 29 long stay clients still in hospital at the end of Q3 (86%) had been declared Alternate Level of Care. As a result of new external partnerships with high-support housing providers, a number of long-stay clients were able to move into the community. Without continued investment in supportive housing, we will be unable to continue this trend. A consequence of our success in discharging long stay patients is a marked increase in acuity and complexity of our admitted patient population. This is having an impact on our cost structure that will have to be recognized if we are to continue to address a significant unmet need for crisis and critical care for mental illness. We also changed our model of care for the Dual Diagnosis population, a population that tended to have long stays in hospital.			
Voars OIP (OIP 2014/15)	as this change idea implemented as ended? (Y/N button	expe	rience with this	l: (Some Questions to Consider) What was your indicator? What were your key learnings? Did the an impact? What advice would you give to others?			
Leadership and advocacy Yes for system solutions Ongoing emphasis on discharge planning		As a result of new external partnerships with high-support housing providers, a number of long-stay clients were able to move into the community. We are continuing with system advocacy for high-support housing. Through the change in our model of care for the dual diagnosis population and working with partners in the Toronto Central Health Integration Network (TCLHIN) and those specific to the sector we have been able to discharge a number of complex clients.					
Changes in the delivery Yes of out-patient services		Transformational work was done with out-patient services. This work included the introduction of extended hours and enhanced supports in the areas of assertive community treatment teams and intensive case-management to strengthen community-based services. The additional supports have allowed for clients who previously could not be discharge successfully to be maintained in the community and receive appropriate follow up to prevent readmission.					

ID	Measure/Indicator from 2014/2015	Current Performance a stated on QIP14/15	Target as stated on QIP 14/15		Comments
4	# of patients currently on or have completed an Integrated Care Pathway (ICP) either in an inpatient setting or ambulatory care Counts Mental Health / Addiction patients annual Integrated Care Pathways spreadsheets: all data related to ICP is currently captured manually	25.00	150.00	185.00	The annual target of 150 was exceeded by 23%. In Q3 45% of clients on an Integrated Care Pathway (ICP) were on the Emergency Department pathway for managing acute agitation and aggression, which was launched at the beginning of the quarter. Current ICPs are: Schizophrenia Inpatient; Late Life Schizophrenia Outpatient Pathway; Emergency Department: Management of acute agitation and aggression; First Episode Schizophrenia – Inpatient; Dementia (Inpatient) – Agitation and Aggression Bipolar Depression Outpatient Pathway; and Major Depression and Alcohol Dependence Pathway. CAMH leadership is in discussion with Ministry of Health and Long Term Care representatives and other Mental Health specialty hospitals to discuss standardization of care in Mental Health.
	Change Ideas from Last Years 2014/15)		Was this cha ea implement intended? (\ button)	ted as was you	ns Learned: (Some Questions to Consider) What ur experience with this indicator? What were your arnings? Did the change ideas make an impact? What advice would you give to others?
pil ar im	ocus on the sustainability and grow lot ICPs in 2013/2014 Identify lesse and develop strategies for developm aplementation of new Integrated Ca CPs) planned for 2014/2015	ons learned ent and	es	implement addiction CAMH postrauma-in available based responded integrate	initiative provides the opportunity to initiate the ntation of pathways specific to mental health and is within Ontario. ICPs are being developed using rinciples such as the recovery-based practice, informed and cultural-sensitive practice, and the best evidence. Opportunity exists to integrate evidence-search from CAMH and integrate it into standardized ICPs will create a platform to evaluate new treatment is and conduct further Health Systems Research. The did care pathways are being spread beyond CAMH to panizations with similar populations. Based on the

lessons learned we are developing new pathways to continue quality improvement through standardization of evidence based mental health care. We envision this work as becoming a resource for the entire system and welcome support in their further development. We are pleased with the uptake by the HQO ARTIC program as well as the openness to exploration for QBP (Quality Based Procedures) development.

I	D Measure/Indicator from 2014/2015	Current Performance as stated on QIP14/15	Target as stated on QIP 14/15	Current Performance 2015	Comments
5	In house survey - Inpatient positive responses to "Overall how would you rate the care and services you are receiving? positive responses (add together those who respond 'Excellent, Very Good and Good")  % Mental Health / Addiction patients annual Hospital collected data	65.20	66.00	68.70	In 2014, inpatient satisfaction on the annual client experience survey improved to 68.7% from 65.2% in 2013 and outpatient satisfaction to 92.3% from 91.7%. There is little information regarding the factors that lead to patient satisfaction in a mental health population. This is an area for further exploration. In 2014, in addition to the annual CES we partnered with Shift Health Paradigms Ltd. (SHP), a healthcare technology company, to create a custom electronic in-patient survey to better understand what contributes to our clients' overall satisfaction with their care. This survey was shorter and at a modified literacy level than our annual survey. Two areas related to overall satisfaction were identified as: i) activities and programming and ii) understanding medication side effects. These areas are targeted for focused improvement. We also noted, in this pilot, that when we used a short, interactive tool with simple language, overall, client satisfaction based on the same question as the annual survey was 84.9% for our inpatient population.
	Change Ideas from Last Years QIP (QIP	2014/15) idea imp inten	his chang plemented ded? (Y/N utton)	l as was your your key	earned: (Some Questions to Consider) What experience with this indicator? What were learnings? Did the change ideas make an? What advice would you give to others?
ii	further data analysis to identify areas for tamprovement Increase data reliability Impronresponse time to client complaints submi	vement		Canada req	are for All Act (ECFAA) and Accreditation uire annual Client Experience Surveys. The was developed specifically for our populations,

Client Relations Office. Work with stakeholders including the CAMH Client Empowerment Council.

in collaboration with Accreditation Canada, and has been administered annually at CAMH since 2010 and adopted by our peer hospitals - Waypoint Centre for Mental Health Care, Ontario Shores Centre for Mental Health Sciences, and The Royal Ottawa Mental Health Centre in 2012. While the survey has undergone extensive review, it has not been validated. Over the past year there has been considerable discussion re: validating the tool or adopting a different validated tool and several tools were reviewed. Accreditation Canada is in the process of validating the tool and we are participating fully in this process by sharing our experience and data.

ID Measure/Indicator from 2014/2015	Current Performance as stated on QIP14/15	Target as stated on QIP 14/15	Curren Performa 2015	ance Comments
Medication reconciliation at admission: The total number of patients with medications reconciled as a proportion of the total number of patients admitted to the hospital. % All patients Most recent quarter available (e.g. Q2 2013/14, Q3 2013/14 etc) Hospital collected data	N/A	СВ	СВ	We have implemented a fully electronic health record named I-CARE. We have leveraged the benefits of having an electronic system to elevate/standardize the practice and limit variation in how medication reconciliation is completed. Both the method for doing medication reconciliation and for collecting the data have changed with the new system, thus making it impossible to compare with data from prior years. Prior to I-CARE, medication reconciliation was a manual process and the indicator was calculated using a representative sample. I-CARE gives us the ability to include 100% of admitted patients in our calculation for the indicator. This is a more accurate and robust measure. Therefore our target for 14/15 was to determine a new baseline utilizing the new system. It is important to note that this performance does not mean medication reconciliation at admission is not fully implemented. This is an Accreditation Canada Required Organization Practice and through chart audits we are confident that the requirements are being met and safety is not compromised. Our goal is to reach 100% using a new rigorous process with higher expectations.
Change Ideas from Last Years QIP	Was this of idea imple as intended button	emented ved? (Y/N v	Lessons Learned: (Some Questions to Consider) What was your experience with this indicator? What were your key learnings? Did the change ideas make an impact? What advice would you give to others?	
Improve tracking mechanism for medical reconciliation Ensure all clinical staff (in team) understand their roles and response	'es	to	The change idea was implemented as intended; The shift to I—CARE has necessitated changes in the process for doing medication reconciliation and these changes have	

throughout the process including documentation of the best possible medication history (BPMH) in the Cerner system

impacted on the entire inter-professional team. We have increased pharmacy support and have pharmacists playing a key role in process redesign as well as physician education. We are also undertaking revisions of how the tool works. Our goal is to create a standardized and comprehensive process and reduce variation by practitioners. These revisions are in the process of being implemented.

ID Measure/Indicator from 2014	2015 Performance	urrent rmance as ited on P14/15	Target as stated on QIP 14/15	Current Performance 2015	Comments		
Physical Restraints: Number of admission assessments where restraint use occurred in last 3 divided by the number of full admission assessments in time period % All patients Q4 2010/12 - Q3 2012/13 OMHRS, CIHI	ays		4.00	3.23	CAMH met its target of being below the provincial average of 4.00%. We continue to be a leader in this area in our sector with year to date use below the provincial average. CAMH is committed to reducing restraint use. CAMH is routinely identifying areas of risk; learning from clients through post-incident debriefs; safety planning with clients on admission and throughout hospitalization; utilizing comfort/ sensory tools in addition to continual enhancement of staff skills through our Prevention and Management of Aggressive Behaviour (PMAB) program and a focus on tools to promote comfort and well being.		
Change Ideas from Last Years QIP (QIP 2014/15) Was this chang idea implemented as intended? (Years QIP (QIP 2014/15) button)		ed this indicator? What were your key learnings? Did the change ideas make an					
Re-evaluate current restraint practices to identify opportunities for further education and process improvement		on promot structured (including post incide debriefing based on teams to r part of the two Plan, patients al Preliminar identifying	ing debrief approach patient face ent and we form and patient fee eview all in weekly de Do, Study, bout contri y data are areas for	is and alternative to better unders to better unders stors, environmentally debriefing a process were readback. Data shancident s (including brief. We have it ACT (PDSA) cybuting factors to shows an increatargeted intervers.	tices was completed and decision made to focus es to restraints as well as implementing a more tanding the factors contributing to aggression int, policy, and professional practice) Learning from are critical to enhancing care. The weekly vised and automated. The data is now themed uring has been enhanced and we are requiring ing "near miss" and successful de-escalation) as implemented a quality improvement initiative with vicles to embed routine debriefs and to learn from the restraint event and possible alternatives. ase in the number of patient debriefs and is intion. Education on promoting alternatives to discussion of I-CARE adoption.		

I	Measure/Indicator from 2014/2015	Current Performance as stated on QIP14/15	Target as stated on QIP 14/15	Performance		Comments
8	Reduce involuntary missing clients Counts Mental Health / Addiction patients annual Q4 2013/14 to Q3 2014/15 Hospital collected data	80.00	76.00		and mo monito proces commu have a and ha	nding is a top patient safety issue in mental health care onitoring unauthorized leave is an important indicator to r. Continued leadership oversight including review of ses related to assessment, documentation, and unication has led to the improvement in this area. We lso reviewed our processes for passes and privileges we identified the value of ongoing assessment and eam communication.
	Change Ideas from Last Years QIP (QIP 2014/15)		Was this chang implemented intended? (Y button)	Lessons Learned: (Some Questions to Consider) What was your experience with this indicator? What were your key learnings? Did the change ideas make an impact? What advice would you give to others?		
fa g o			Yes		Detailed reviews and attention to factors that contribute to unauthorized leave of absence are having a significant impact in decreasing the number of these events.	